

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/844,752	04/27/2001	Bin Yu	P1316	2099	
759	90 06/18/2003				
LaRiviere, Grubman & Payne, LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 3140 Monterey, CA 93942			POMPEY, RO	POMPEY, RON EVERETT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>-</i>					
<b>.</b>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/844,752	YU, BIN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ron E Pompey	2812				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication apparents	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE MA - Extensi after SI - If the pe - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailin patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	May 2003 .					
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
•	n of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-11 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to:	or election requirement					
Applicatio	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	or election requirement.					
	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
/—	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		ıminer.				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)[] Ti	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌 🛭 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) <u></u>	All b) Some * c) None of:						
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Best the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·					
a)	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s	_		<del></del>				
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/844,752

Art Unit: 2812

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doyle (US 5,834,355) in further view of Chen et al. (US 6,489,206).

Doyle discloses the steps of:

For claims 1-6 and 9-11:

providing a gate oxide and gate;

performing a source/drain extension implant (fig. 1C);

forming spacer on the gate (30, fig. 1E)

removing the spacer (fig. 1G; col. 1, In.58 - col. 2, In. 14); and

performing a halo implant (60, fig. 10; col. 2, ln. 54 – col. 3, ln. 9).

3. Doyle discloses the claimed invention, as described above, except for the limitations disclosed below by Chen.

For claims 1-6 and 8-11:

performing epitaxy to form raised source/drain regions (206, fig. 2B; (col. 3, lns.

15-67); and

forming a silicide on the gate and source/drain regions (col. 1, lns. 15-28).

Application/Control Number: 09/844,752

Art Unit: 2812

Page 3

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Wu with Pan, because the raised source and drain suppresses the short channel effect and acts as a implant mask during halo implant, like the photo resist of Doyle.

4. Doyle and Chen disclose the claimed invention, as described above, except for the implant depth of either the source/drain extension or the halo. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implant the dopants at the claimed ranges of depth or defining a channel region for a gate of no more than 50 nm length, for claims 2, 4, 5, 9 and 10, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (703) 305-3016. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ron Pompey Art Unit: 2812

June 16, 2003

John F. Niebling

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800